

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,778	10/11/2001	Luc Ouellet	12251-US	7550
23553	7590 09/28/200		EXAMINER	
MARKS & CLERK			HOFFMANN, JOHN M	
P.O. BOX 95 STATION B	7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, O	ON K1P 5S7	•	1731	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		LA 11:	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
Advisory Action	09/973,778	OUELLET ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Hoffmann	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and of the sapplication of the same of the	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate of the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,	
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): The FTIR indefiniteness	rejection	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-21, 24-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		11
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	M
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	-	. 1////	
10. Other:	(-)( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
10.[_] Outer		John Moffwann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731	7-25-09

## \*Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/973,778

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues: whether the changes to claim 1 step f) i); claims 6-7, 10-13, 18-19 and 21 would make the claims allowable .

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment is not entered. As to the Ojha rejection: it appears that Applicant is not referring to the proper Ojha reference (it is 5979188, not 5904491). The Office does not contest any assertion that 5904491 does not teach the invention. In as much as Applicant did not argue anything about the Grant-Ojha combination, it is deemed that Applicant agrees that such is an obvious combination and thus the claims are not allowable..